



Missouri, which is located in the Western District of Missouri. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 105(b)(5).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), an action of this type may be brought only in: “(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.”

Because the events or omissions giving rise to plaintiff’s claims occurred in the Western District, venue is proper there. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), “[t]he district court of a district in which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought.” Here, the Court concludes that it is in the interests of justice to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff’s motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 2) is **PROVISIONALLY GRANTED**, subject to modification by the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall **TRANSFER** this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Dated this 7th day of May, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY W. SIPPEL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE